Glossary

| ESG | Environmental, Social and Governance |
|--------------------|--|
| Investment Adviser | First Actuarial LLP |
| LGIM | Legal & General Investment Management |
| Scheme | The Haseltine Lake Staff Pension Scheme |
| Scheme Year | 1 May 2023 to 30 April 2024 |
| SIP | Statement of Investment Principles |
| UNPRI | United Nations Principles for Responsible Investment |

Introduction

This Implementation Statement reports on the extent to which, over the Scheme Year, the Trustees have followed their policy relating to the exercise of rights (including voting rights) attaching to the Scheme's investments. In addition, the Implementation Statement summarises the voting behaviour of the Scheme's investment managers and includes details of the most significant votes cast and the use of the services of proxy voting advisers.

In preparing this statement, the Trustees have considered guidance from the Department for Work & Pensions which was updated on 17 June 2022.

Relevant Investments

The Scheme's assets are invested in pooled funds and some of those funds include an allocation to equities. Where equities are held, the investment manager has the entitlement to vote.

At the end of the Scheme Year, the Scheme invested in the following funds which included an allocation to equities:

- LGIM World Equity Index Fund
- Baillie Gifford Multi-Asset Growth Fund
- Schroder Life Intermediated Diversified Growth Fund

The Trustees' Policy Relating to the Exercise of Rights

Summary of the Policy

The Trustees' policy in relation to the exercise of rights (including voting rights) attaching to the investments is set out in the SIP. The SIP was updated during the Scheme year to reflect changes made to the Scheme's investment strategy, but wording relating to the exercise of rights was not revised. A summary of this wording is as follows:

- The Trustees believe that good stewardship can help create, and preserve, value for companies and markets as a whole.
- The Trustees invest in pooled investment vehicles and therefore accept that ongoing engagement with the underlying companies (including the exercise of voting rights) will be determined by the investment manager's own policies on such matters.
- When selecting a fund the Trustees consider, amongst other things, the investment manager's
 policy in relation to the exercise of the rights (including voting rights) attaching to the
 investments held within the fund.
- When considering the ongoing suitability of an investment manager, the Trustees (in conjunction with their Investment Adviser) will take account of any particular characteristics of that manager's engagement policy that are deemed to be financially material.
- The Trustees will normally select investment managers who are signatories to the UN Principles for Responsible Investment (UNPRI).
- If it is identified that a fund's investment manager is not engaging with companies the Trustees
 may look to replace that fund. However, in the first instance, the Trustees would normally
 expect their Investment Adviser to raise the Trustees' concerns with the investment manager.

Has the Policy Been Followed During the Scheme Year?

The Trustees' opinion is that their policy relating to the exercise of rights (including voting rights) attaching to the investments has been followed during the Scheme Year. In reaching this conclusion, the following points were taken into consideration:

- There has been no change to the Trustees' belief regarding the importance of good stewardship.
- The Scheme's invested assets remained invested in pooled funds over the period.
- The Trustees did not select any new funds during the period.
- Following the end of the Scheme year, the Trustees selected a new Diversified Credit Fund manager. In doing so, the Trustees considered a fund that took a sustainable approach (albeit that they agreed that its restrictions were out of date and hence not appropriate).
- During the Scheme Year, the Trustees considered the voting records of the investment managers over the period ending 31 March 2023.
- Since the end of the Scheme Year, an updated analysis of the voting records of the investment managers based on the period ending 31 March 2024* has been undertaken as part of the work required to prepare this Implementation Statement. A summary of the key findings from that analysis is provided below.

- The Trustees participated in an initiative with ShareAction, to encourage LGIM to back a
 resolution with NESTLE in relation to its reliance on less healthy food sales. LGIM changed
 their position and supported this resolution.
- The investment managers used by the Scheme are signatories to the UNPRI.

The Investment Managers' Voting Records

A summary of the investment managers' voting record is shown in the table below.

| Investment Manager | | Split of votes: | | | | |
|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| | Number of votes | For | Against / withheld | Did not vote/ abstaine | | |
| Baillie Gifford | 14,000 | 90% | 5% | 6% | | |
| LGIM | 120,000 | 76% | 24% | 0% | | |
| Schroders | 76,000 | 86% | 12% | 2% | | |

<u>Notes</u>

Split of votes may not sum to 100% due to rounding.

These voting statistics are based on each manager's full voting record over the 12 months to 31 March 2024 rather than votes related solely to the funds held by the Scheme.

Use of Proxy Voting Advisers

| Investment Manager | Who is their proxy voting adviser? | How is the proxy voting adviser used? | | | | |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Baillie Gifford | No Proxy Voting Adviser | All done in-house, Manager aims to participate in all votes | | | | |
| LGIM ISS and IVIS | | ISS and IVIS provide research and ISS administer votes. However, all voting is determined by guidelines set by LGIM. | | | | |
| Schroders | Glass Lewis | Proxy advisers provide research and administer votes but voting is determined by Schroders. | | | | |

^{*}Note the voting analysis was over the year ending 31 March 2024 because this was the most recent data available at the time of preparing this statement. The Trustees are satisfied that the analysis provides a fair representation of the investment managers voting approach over the Scheme Year.

The Investment Managers' capital Voting Behaviour

The Trustees have reviewed the voting behaviour of the investment managers by considering the following:

- broad statistics of their voting record such as the percentage of votes cast for and against the recommendations of boards of directors (i.e. "with management" or "against management");
- the votes they cast in the year to 31 March 2024 on the most contested proposals in nine categories across the UK, the US and Europe;
- the investment managers' policies and statements on the subjects of stewardship, corporate governance and voting.

The Trustees have also compared the voting behaviour of the investment managers with their peers over the same period.

Further details of the approach adopted by the Trustees for assessing voting behaviour are provided in the Appendix.

The Trustees' key observations are set out below.

Voting in Significant Votes

Based on information provided by the Trustees' Investment Adviser, the Trustees have identified significant votes in nine separate categories. The Trustees consider votes to be more significant if they are closely contested, i.e. close to a 50:50 split for and against. A closely contested vote indicates that shareholders considered the matter to be significant enough that it should not be simply "waved through". In addition, in such a situation, the vote of an individual investment manager is likely to be more important in the context of the overall result.

The five most significant votes in each of the nine categories based on shares held by the Scheme's investment managers are listed in the Appendix. In addition, the Trustees considered each investment manager's overall voting record in significant votes (i.e. votes across all stocks not just the stocks held within the funds used by the Scheme).

Analysis of Voting Behaviour

Baillie Gifford

The Trustees note that there has been no material change in Baillie Gifford's voting record over the last 12 months; the manager continues to display a tendency to support director proposals to a greater extent than is observed with many other investment managers.

Voting in line with management includes votes on remuneration – last year it appeared that the manager was taking a tougher stance, but Baillie Gifford now seems more inclined to vote with management on such matters.

The Trustees are aware of recent criticism of Baillie Gifford from activists which has resulted in the manager cancelling its sponsorship of several literary festivals. However, Baillie Gifford's voting record provides evidence that the manager continues to be supportive towards shareholder proposals addressing ESG matters.

The Trustees have concerns relating to the change in voting behaviour at Baillie Gifford. However, the Trustees have now divested from this fund (following the end of the Scheme Year), and so agreed that no further investigation is warranted.

<u>LGIM</u>

The Trustees note that LGIM's voting record continues to compare very favourably with its peers. As in previous years, analysis of LGIM's voting record identifies clear evidence that the manager is willing to vote against company directors on a broad range of issues.

LGIM opposed several climate-related proposals based on an assessment that proposals put forward by a company's management did not go far enough.

The Trustees have no concerns regarding LGIM's voting record.

Schroders

The Trustees have no concerns regarding Schroders' voting record.

The manager demonstrates that it is prepared to vote against management on a range of issues and opposes management in closely contested votes to a greater extent than many of its peers.

Schroders has also consistently been supportive of closely contested shareholder proposals brought to tackle a range of issues including climate change, gender inequality, diversity and human rights.

Schroders supports directors looking to transition companies to a low carbon economy but has opposed such plans in instances where the investment manager does not feel company management is going far enough.

Conclusion

Based on the analysis undertaken, the Trustees have no material concerns regarding the voting records of LGIM, Baillie Gifford or Schroder.

The Trustees will keep the voting actions of the investment managers under review, noting that in some instances there are areas that could still be improved.

Robert Riddaway...... Date: 9 September 2024

Signed on behalf of the Trustees of The Haseltine Lake Staff Pension Scheme

Significant Votes

The table below records how the Scheme's investment managers voted in the most significant votes identified by the Trustees.

| Meeting Date | Proposal | For (%) | Against (%) | LGIM | 20. | Baillie |
|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|---------------|--|
| | 11000321 | | | | | D186 1 |
| | | | (70) | LGIM | Schroders | Gifford |
| | | _ | | | | |
| | Re-appoint Ernst & Young LLP as Independent Auditor of the Company | 49 | 50 | 701 | Not held | Not held |
| | | 40 | 60 | Against | Against | Not hek |
| | | 72 | 28 | Against | For | Not held |
| | | 76 | 24 | For | Not held | Not held |
| 06/07/2023 | Re-appoint KPMG LLP as auditor of the Company. | 78 | 22 | Against | For | Not held |
| | | | | | | |
| 04/05/2023 | Issue Shares with Pre-emption Rights | 55 | 45 | For | Not hold | Not held |
| 23/10/2023 | Issue Shares for Cash | | | | | Not held |
| V. 144 (124) | Issue Shares for Cash for the Purpose of Financing an Acquisition or Other | | | | Notificia | 14 OT HER |
| | | 44 | 56 | For | Not held | Not held |
| | | 4.2 | 58 | Against | Not held | Not held |
| 18/01/2024 | Issue Shares with Pre-emption Rights | 63 | 37 | For | Not held | Not hek |
| | | | | | | |
| 08/05/2023 | Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation | 51 | 49 | Against | Not hold | Not held |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Not held |
| | | | | | | Not held |
| | | | | | Section 1997 | Not held |
| 1 | | - 71 | 40 | Against | 1. Administra | Not held |
| | | | | | | |
| | | 50 | 50 | Feat | For | Not held |
| 4174412020 | - | 52 | 48 | Against | Not held | Not held |
| 04/05/2023 | Elect Reuben S. Leibowitz - Non-Executive Director | 53 | 46 | Against | Against | Not held |
| | | 55 | 45 | Against | Not held | Not held |
| 09/06/2023 | Elect Thomas M. Hagerty | 55 | 45 | Against | Not held | Not held |
| | | | | | | |
| 10/08/2023 | Approve the Waiver of Rule 9 | 1.00 | 234 | Anning | | |
| 10/00/1013 | | 32 | 98 | Against | Not held | Not held |
| 15/11/2023 | | 59 | 36 | For | Not held | Not held |
| 19/07/2023 | Waiver of mandatory offer provisions set out in Rule 9 of the Takeover Code | 54 | 35 | Againsi | | Not held |
| 06/12/2023 | Approve that the Company Ceases to Continue as Currently Constituted | 29 | 71 | | | Not held |
| 27/04/2023 | Approve Issuance of Debt Securities Giving Access to New Shares of Subsidiaries | 73 | 27 | | | Not held |
| | | | | | | |
| 25/05/2022 | According this Company to 2000 Climate Comp | 22 | | _ | | |
| | | 1700 | | | | Not held |
| | | | | | | Not held |
| | | | | | | Not held |
| | | | | | | Not held |
| 20/07/2023 | Approve the chinate-related mancial disclosures | 88 | 10 | For | Not held | Not held |
| | | | | | | |
| | Notice of General Meetings | | | | | |
| | | 61 | 39 | For | Not held | Not held |
| | | 74 | 26 | Against | Against | Not held |
| | | 70 | 25 | For | Not held | Not held |
| | | 75 | 25 | (For | Not held | Not held |
| 26/04/2023 | Authorize implementation of approved resolutions and filing of required | 75 | 25 | For | Not held | Not held |
| | | | | | | |
| 25/04/2023 | Simple Majority Voting | 50 | 49 | 1866 | Ehr | Not held |
| | | | | | | Not held |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Against Not held |
| | | | | | | Not held |
| | | | 70 | - 180- | | not neid |
| | | | | | | |
| 22/06/2023 | Racial and Gender Pay Gaps | 52 | 48 | for | Not held | Not held |
| | | | | | | |
| 25/04/2023 | Annual Report on Prevention of Workplace Harassment and Discrimination | 52 | 43 | For | Fight. | Not held |
| 25/04/2023 17/05/2023 | Greenhouse Gas Reduction and Transition Plan | 52 48 | 52 | For | Not held | Not held Not held |
| 25/04/2023 17/05/2023 | | | | | | |
| | 08/05/2023 06/07/2023 23/10/2023 28/01/2023 18/01/2024 08/05/2023 28/01/2024 18/01/2024 08/05/2023 28/05/2023 28/04/2023 18/05/2023 17/05/2023 04/05/2023 04/05/2023 04/05/2023 19/07/2023 19/07/2023 28/04/2023 28/04/2023 28/04/2023 28/04/2023 28/04/2023 28/04/2023 28/04/2023 28/04/2023 28/04/2023 28/04/2023 28/04/2023 28/04/2023 25/04/2023 25/04/2023 25/04/2023 25/05/2023 25/05/2023 25/05/2023 25/05/2023 25/05/2023 25/05/2023 25/05/2023 25/05/2023 25/05/2023 | 27/04/2023 Approve the Auditors 08/06/2023 Reappoont KPM SLP as suit tor of the Company 04/05/2023 Issue Shares with Pre-emption Rights 23/10/2023 Issue Shares for Cash 18/01/2023 Issue Shares for Cash for the Purpose of Financing an Acquisition or Other 28/11/2023 Issue Shares for Cash for the Purpose of Financing an Acquisition or Other 28/11/2023 Issue Shares with Pre-emption Rights 18/01/2024 Issue Shares with Pre-emption Rights 18/01/2023 Issue Shares with Pre-emption Rights 23/05/2023 Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation 23/05/2023 Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation 28/05/2023 Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation 28/05/2023 Approve Remuneration Policy 18/05/2023 Perceive Compensation 28/05/2023 Approve Remuneration Policy 28/04/2023 Elect Televien S. Leibowitz - Non-Executive Director 29/05/2023 Elect Televien S. Leibowitz - Non-Executive Director 20/05/2023 Elect Trians M. Rigerry 20/05/2023 Approve the Waiver of Rivle 9 20/05/2023 Approve the Company Supplied Provisions set out in Rivle S of the Takeover Code 27/04/2023 Approve the Company Supplied Report 23/05/2023 Approve the Company Supplied Report 23/05/2023 Approve issuance of Debt Securities Giving Access to New Shares of Subsidiaries 26/05/2023 Approve the Company Supplied Report 23/05/2023 Approve Political Donations 25/05/2023 Approve Political Donations 18/05/2023 Approve Political Donations 25/05/2023 Approve Political Donations 25/ | 27/04/2023 Appoint the Auditors 72 73 74 75 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 | 27/04/2023 Appoint the Auditors 72 28 08/06/2023 Re-appoint Remote Service Error Err | 27/04/2023 | 27/04/2023 Approve the Auditors 72 78 Apparent 78 79 Apparent 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 7 |

<u>Note</u>

Where an investment manager's voting record has not been provided for each fund, reliance is placed on periodic stock holding information to identify votes relevant to the fund. This means it is possible that some of the votes listed above may relate to companies that were not held within a pooled fund at the date of the vote. Equally, it is possible that there are votes not included above which relate to companies that were held within a fund at the date of the vote.

Methodology for Determining Significant Votes

The methodology used to identify significant votes for this statement uses an objective measure of significance: the extent to which a vote was contested - with the most Significant Votes being those which were most closely contested.

The Trustees believe that this is a good measure of significance because, firstly, a vote is likely to be contentious if it is finely balanced, and secondly, in voting on the Trustees' behalf in a finely balanced vote, an investment manager's action will have more bearing on the outcome.

If the analysis was to rely solely on identifying closely contested votes, there is a chance many votes would be on similar topics which would not help to assess an investment manager's entire voting record. Therefore, the assessment incorporates a thematic approach; splitting votes into nine separate categories and then identifying the most closely contested votes in each of those categories.

A consequence of this approach is that the total number of Significant Votes is large. This is helpful for assessing an investment manager's voting record in detail, but it presents a challenge when summarising the Significant Votes in this statement. Therefore, for practical purposes, the table on the previous page only includes summary information on each of the Significant Votes.

The Trustees have not provided the following information which DWP's guidance suggests could be included in an Implementation Statement:

- Approximate size of the Scheme's holding in the company as at the date of the vote.
- If the vote was against management, whether this intention was communicated by the investment manager to the company ahead of the vote.
- An explanation of the rationale for the voting decision, particularly where: there was a vote
 against the board; there were votes against shareholder proposals; a vote was withheld; or the
 vote was not in line with voting policy.
- Next steps, including whether the investment manager intends to escalate stewardship efforts.

The Trustees are satisfied that the approach used ensures that the analysis covers a broad range of themes and that this increases the likelihood of identifying concerns about an investment manager's voting behaviour. The Trustees have concluded that this approach provides a more informative assessment of an investment manager's overall voting approach than would be achieved by analysing a smaller number of votes in greater detail.

Investment Manager Voting Policies

For more information concerning an investment manager's voting policies and rationale, please visit the below links:

<u>Baillie Gifford</u> - https://www.bailliegifford.com/en/uk/intermediaries/literature-library/corporate-governance/our-stewardship-approach-esg-principles-and-guidelines/

LGIM - https://vds.issgovernance.com/vds/#/MjU2NQ==/

<u>Schroders</u> - https://www.schroders.com/en-gb/uk/individual/what-we-do/sustainable-investing/active-ownership/how-we-vote/